











































held *Innkeepers* to be distinguishable, because there was no evidence in the instant case that the County's ordinances had been arbitrarily adopted, and Malibu had made no showing that the application of the ordinances had caused it a unique hardship, i.e., that such application was arbitrary, oppressive, or confiscatory. The ordinances, said

the court, were not unconstitutional. The court remanded the case for entry of a preliminary injunction in favor of the County. *Miami-Dade County ex rel. Walthour v. Malibu Lodging Investments, LLC*, 64 So. 3d 716 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 3d Dist. 2011), reh'g denied, (July 22, 2011).